

U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics PO Box 193766 San Francisco, CA 94119-3766



CONTACT:

Richard J. Holden (415) 975-4373 David Kong (415) 975-4384 Public Information Line (415) 975-4350

Internet address: http://www.bls.gov/ro9/ro9news.htm

Fax on demand (415) 975-4567, Document 9665

BLS 05-79 FOR RELEASE: Monday, December 12, 2005

AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGES IN HAWAII: FIRST QUARTER 2005 Hawaii wages trail national average

In the first quarter of 2005, weekly wages in Hawaii averaged \$669, more than \$100 below the national average. Still, Hawaii placed 28th highest nationwide in pay level; only 12 states and the District of Columbia had wages exceeding the U.S. average of \$775. From the first quarter 2004 to the first quarter 2005, the average weekly wage in Hawaii increased 2.0 percent. Hawaii ranked 26th in wage growth over the year, just below the national increase of 2.2 percent.

In Honolulu County, the average weekly wage was \$693 in the first quarter of 2005, 1.5 percent higher than one year earlier, according to the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. Regional Commissioner Richard J. Holden noted that Honolulu County ranked 173rd in wage level and 182nd in wage growth among the 322 largest counties (those with 75,000 or more jobs) in the United States. Average weekly wages in Honolulu County were \$82 lower than the national average in the first quarter of 2005.

Large County Average Weekly Wages

Average weekly wages were higher than the national average of \$775 in 101 of the largest 322 U.S. counties in the first quarter of 2005. New York County, N.Y., held the top position among the highest-paid large counties with an average weekly wage of \$2,025. Fairfield County, Conn., was second with an average weekly wage of \$1,613, followed by Suffolk, Mass. (\$1,390), Santa Clara, Calif. (\$1,372), and San Francisco, Calif. (\$1,368).

Four of the ten counties with the highest wages in the U.S. were located in the greater New York metropolitan area (New York, N.Y., Fairfield, Conn., Somerset, N.J., and Hudson, N.J.), three others were located in or around the San Francisco area (Santa Clara, San Francisco, and San Mateo) while two more were located in or around the Washington D.C. metropolitan area (Arlington, Va. and Washington, D.C.). Rounding out the top ten was Suffolk County, Mass., part of the Boston metropolitan area. (See table 1.)

There were 220 large counties with an average weekly wage below the national average in the first quarter of 2005. Cameron County, Texas, reported the lowest wage at \$460, followed by the counties of Hidalgo, Texas (\$463), Horry, S.C. (\$479), Webb, Texas (\$490), and Yakima, Wash. (\$516). The average weekly wage in the lowest-paid county, Cameron, was less than one-quarter the wage in the highest-paid county, New York.

From the first quarter 2004 to the first quarter 2005, the average weekly wage in the U.S. rose by 2.2 percent. Among the largest counties, Collier, Fla., led the nation in wage growth, with an increase of 10.7 percent over the year. Cumberland, Pa., was second with a wage gain of 9.3 percent, followed by the counties of Hudson, N.J., (9.0 percent), Henrico, Va. (8.4 percent), and Fairfield, Conn., and Rock Island, Ill. (7.7 percent each).

Thirty-five counties experienced over-the-year declines in average weekly wages. Clayton County, Ga., had the largest decrease, -6.0 percent, followed by the counties of Marin, Calif. (-5.6 percent), Hamilton, Ind. (-4.3 percent), McLean, Ill. (-2.8 percent), and St. Louis, Minn. (-2.7 percent).

State Average Weekly Wages

The five highest wage levels in the nation were in the District of Columbia (\$1,277), New York (\$1,096), Connecticut (\$1,084), Massachusetts (\$964), and New Jersey (\$963). Eight of the 13 areas in which average weekly wages surpassed the U.S. average fell in a contiguous band along the east coast stretching from Massachusetts to Virginia. (See table 2.) Average weekly wages in this group were 24 percent or more above that for the nation. At the other end of the scale, five states had wage levels averaging less than 75 percent of national earnings: Montana (\$533), South Dakota (\$544), Mississippi (\$545), North Dakota (\$550), and Idaho (\$561).

The District of Columbia, with wage growth of 4.2 percent, led all others in the first quarter of 2005. Delaware was next at 4.0 percent and Connecticut was third, averaging 3.9 percent. At the lower end of the rankings was Kentucky with wage growth of 0.6 percent, followed by Minnesota and Nebraska, both averaging 0.8 percent over the year.

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from reports submitted by employers subject to state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) laws. The 8.5 million employer reports cover 129.8 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly wage is computed by dividing the total quarterly payroll of employees covered by UI programs by the average monthly number of these employees. This number then is divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over- the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or States for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at http://www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised (see Note below) and will not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

Additional statistics and other information

An annual bulletin, Employment and Wages, features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2004 edition of

this bulletin contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the fourth quarter 2004 version of this news release. Employment and Wages Annual Averages, 2004 will be available for sale in late 2005 from the United States Government Printing Office, Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250, telephone 866-512-1800, outside Washington, D.C. Within Washington, D.C., the telephone number is 202-512-1800. The fax number is 202-512-2104. Also, the 2004 bulletin will be available in a portable document format (PDF) on the BLS Web site at http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn04.htm.

QCEW-based news releases issued by other regional offices have been placed at one convenient Web site location, http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewregional.htm.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691- 5200; TDD message referral phone number: 1-800-877-8339.

For personal assistance or further information on the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages Program, as well as other Bureau programs, contact the Western Information Office at 415-975-4350 from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. PT.

NOTE

QCEW data are the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. For this reason, county and industry data are not designed to be used as a time series. The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. The potential differences result from several causes. Differences between BLS and State published data may be due to the continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the largest county in Hawaii, first quarter 2005²

	Employment		Average Weekly Wage ³				
County	March 2005 (thousands)	Percent change, March 2004-05 ⁴	Average Weekly Wage	National ranking by level ⁵	Percent change, first quarter 2004-05 ⁴	National ranking by percent change ⁵	
United States ⁶	129,802.3	1.7	\$775	-	2.2	-	
Hawaii	597.6	3.1	669	28	2.0	26	
Honolulu	436.2	3.0	693	173	1.5	182	

¹ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

² Data are preliminary.

³ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁴ County percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.

⁵ Ranking does not include the county of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

⁶ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 2. Covered¹ employment and wages by state, first quarter 2005²

	Employment Employment		Average weekly wage ³				
		Percent		National	Percent	National	
Area	March 2005	change,	Average	ranking by	change,	ranking by	
	(thousands)	March	weekly wage	level	first quarter	percent	
		2004-05		levei	2004-05	change	
United States ⁴	129,802.3	1.7	\$775		2.2		
Alabama	1,871.5	2.0	642	32		16	
Alaska	290.3	2.0	744	18		37	
Arizona	2,459.7	5.0	698	23		22	
Arkansas	1,144.8	1.7	579	46		11	
California	15,064.5	1.9	872	7		26	
Colorado	2,158.6	2.4	787	11		24	
Connecticut	1,624.7	0.8	1,084	3		3	
Delaware	407.9	1.2	878	6		2	
District of Columbia	661.7	1.1	1,277	1	4.2	1	
Florida	7,731.0	3.5	679	26		7	
Georgia	3,877.0	1.5	742	19		30	
Hawaii	597.6	3.1	669	28			
Idaho	594.2	4.2	561	47	1.6	36	
Illinois	5,644.9	0.5	848	8	2.9		
Indiana	2,838.7	1.1	667	30		47	
lowa	1,419.5	1.9	616	37	1.7	33	
Kansas	1,290.7	0.9	631	34	1.4	41	
Kentucky	1,741.2	1.8	628	35		51	
Louisiana	1,873.8	0.6	619	36		11	
Maine	573.2	-0.5	614	38		33	
Maryland	2,458.0	1.1	831	9	2.0	26	
Massachusetts	3,094.8	0.1	964	4	1.2	44	
Michigan		-0.4	780	13		44	
Minnesota	•	1.3	783	12		49	
Mississippi		1.3	545	49		22	
Missouri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.8	671	27	0.9	47	
Montana		3.2	533	51	3.5	7	
Nebraska		1.5	600	42	0.8	49	
Nevada	1,187.6	6.7	714	21		16	
New Hampshire		0.8	745	17	2.8	11	
New Jersey		0.8	963	5	1.8	32	
New Mexico		2.2	596	43	2.1	25	
New York	8,242.3	0.8	1,096	2	3.7	6	
North Carolina	3,808.0	2.3	687	24	2.7	14	
North Dakota	320.4	2.6	550	48	1.5	37	
Ohio	5,228.6	0.4	706	22	2.0	26	
Oklahoma	1,453.9	2.5	591	44	1.9	30	
Oregon	1,621.6	4.2	685	25		37	
Pennsylvania	5,481.0	1.0	747	16	1.5	37	
Rhode Island	466.9	0.5	736	20	1.2	44	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 2. Covered¹ employment and wages by state, first quarter 2005² (Continued)

Table 2. Covered employment and wages by state, first quarter 2005 (Continued)							
	Employment		Average weekly wage ³				
Area	March 2005	Percent change,	Average	National ranking by	Percent change,	National ranking by	
	(thousands)	March	weekly wage	level	first quarter	percent	
		2004-05			2004-05	change	
						_	
South Carolina	1,800.3	1.5	611	39	2.5	18	
South Dakota	365.1	2.0	544	50	2.4	20	
Tennessee	2,665.2	1.8	660	31	1.4	41	
Texas	9,454.6	2.2	760	15	3.1	9	
Utah	1,091.9	3.9	607	40	1.3	43	
Vermont	297.5	0.9	639	33	3.9	3	
Virginia	3,525.7	2.4	794	10	2.7	14	
Washington	2,702.3	2.6	766	14	2.4	20	
West Virginia	683.6	1.1	583	45	2.5	18	
Wisconsin	2,687.0	1.4	668	29	1.7	33	
Wyoming	246.2	3.0	606	41	3.9	3	
Puerto Rico	1,048.2	1.4	433	(5)	ა.ა	(5)	
Virgin Islands	44.2	2.1	650	(5)	13.4	(5)	

¹ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

 ² Data are preliminary.
³ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
⁴ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

⁵ Data not included in the national ranking.